

# Hypersurfaces with radial mean curvature in space forms

Hipersuperfícies com curvatura média radial em formas espaciais

Carlos M. C. Riveros<sup>\*</sup> Armando M. V. Corro<sup>†</sup> Edwin O. S. Reyes<sup>‡</sup>

Abstract: In this paper, we study two classes of hypersurfaces, namely, the DRMC-hypersurfaces and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces in space forms  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , c = -1, 0, 1, these classes include the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type obtained in [10]. For n = 2, we present a way to obtain DRMC-surfaces and HDRMC-surfaces in  $\overline{M}^3(c)$  using two holomorphic functions. Also, we classify the DRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

**Keywords:** Weingarten hypersurfaces. radial mean curvature. Helmholtz equation.

**Resumo:** Neste artigo, estudamos duas classes de hipersuperficies, a saber, as DRMChipersuperfícies e as HDRMC-hipersuperfícies em formas espaciais  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , c = -1, 0, 1, essas classes incluem as hipersuperfícies Weingarten de tipo esférico obtidas em [10]. Para n = 2, apresentamos uma forma de obter DRMC-superfícies e HDRMC-superfícies em  $\overline{M}^3(c)$ usando duas funções holomorfas. Também classificamos as DRMC-hipersuperfícies de rotação em  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  e as HDRMC-hipersuperfícies de rotação em  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

Palavras-chave: Hipersuperfícies Weingarten. curvatura média radial. equação de Helmholtz.

<sup>\*</sup>Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Brasília, carlos@mat.unb.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade Federal de Goiás, avcorro@gamil.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Centro das Ciências Exatas e das Tecnologias, Universidade Federal do Oeste da Bahia, edwin.reyes@ufob.edu.br

### 1 Introduction

The surfaces  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying a functional relation of the form W(H, K) = 0, where H and K are the mean and Gaussian curvatures of the surface M, respectively, are called *Weingarten surfaces*. Examples of Weingarten surfaces are the surfaces of revolution and the surfaces of constant mean or Gaussian curvature. In [7], the authors study an important class of surfaces satisfying a linear relation of the form

$$aH + bK + c = 0,$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$ . These surfaces are called *linear Weingarten* surfaces. The paper [6], is devoted to the integrability of linear Weingarten surfaces.

Corro, in [2] presented a way of parameterizing surfaces as envelopes of a congruence of spheres in which an envelope is contained in a plane and with radius function h associated with a hydrodynamic type system. As an application, it studies the surfaces in hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^3$  satisfying the relation

$$2ach^{\frac{2(c-1)}{c}}(H-1) + (a+b-ach^{\frac{2(c-1)}{c}})K = 0,$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a + b \neq 0$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , H is the mean curvature and K is the Gaussian curvature. This class of surfaces includes the Bryant surfaces and the flat surfaces of the hyperbolic space and are called *generalized Weingarten surfaces of Bryant type*.

In [3] the authors study the surfaces M in the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^3$  satisfying the relation

$$2(H-1)e^{2\mu} + K(1-e^{2\mu}) = 0,$$

where  $\mu$  is a harmonic function with respect to the quadratic form  $\sigma = -KI + 2(H - 1)II$ , I and II are the first and the second quadratic form of M. These surfaces are called *Generalized Weingarten surfaces of harmonic type*.

In [5], the authors study a class of oriented hypersurfaces M in hyperbolic space (n + 1)-dimensional that satisfy a Weingarten relation in the form

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} (c-n+2r) \binom{n}{r} H_r = 0,$$

where c is a real constant and  $H_r$  is the rth mean curvature of the hypersurface M. They show that this class of hypersurfaces is characterized by a harmonic application



derived from the two hyperbolic Gauss map. Looking these hypersurfaces as orthogonal to a congruence of geodesics, they also show the relation of such hypersurfaces with solutions of the equation  $\Delta u + ku^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0$ , where  $k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

In [9], the author present a way to parameterize hypersurfaces as congruence of spheres in which an envelope is contained in a hyperplane. Using this parametrization is presented a generalization of the surfaces of the spherical type (Laguerre minimal surfaces) studied in [8], namely the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type, i.e. the oriented hypersurfaces of the Euclidean space  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  satisfying a Weingarten relation of the form

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} (-1)^{r+1} r f^{r-1} \binom{n}{r} H_r = 0,$$

where  $f \in C^{\infty}(M; \mathbb{R})$  and  $H_r$  is the rth mean curvature of M. Later, Reyes and Riveros [10], generalize the results obtained by [9] in space forms.

In this paper, we study two classes of hypersurfaces, namely, the DRMC-hypersurfaces and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces in space forms  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , c = -1, 0, 1, defined as: An orientable hypersurface  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is called a *hypersurface with radial mean curvature which depends on the distance and radius functions* (in short, DRMC-hypersurface) if satisfy

$$\frac{H_R}{1-d} + (a-c)h = 0, \ a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

An orientable hypersurface  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is called a hypersurface with radial mean curvature of harmonic type (in short HDRMC-hypersurface) if satisfy

$$\Delta\left(\frac{H_R}{1-d} + (a-c)h\right) = 0,$$

where  $H_R$  is the radial mean curvature.

We observe that when a = c = 0 and  $H_R = 0$  we obtain the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type estudied by Machado in [9], also, when a = c and  $H_R = 0$  we obtain the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type estudied by Reyes and Riveros in [10]. For n = 2 we present a way to obtain DRMC-surfaces and HDRMC-surfaces in  $\overline{M}^3(c)$  using two holomorphic functions. Also, we classify the DRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces of



rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  be, the simply connected space form of sectional curvature c = -1, 1, 0.  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  will denote the (n+1)-dimensional hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ , if c = -1, the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  when c = 0 or the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ , if c = 1.

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n) \in U$ . The partial derivatives of  $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , with respect to  $u_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , will be denoted by  $f_{i}$ .

We denote by  $\mathbb{L}^{n+2}$  the space of (n+2)-tuples  $u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n+2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  with the Lorentzian metric  $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} u_i v_i - u_{n+2} v_{n+2}$ , where  $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+2})$  and we consider the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  as a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ , namely,

$$\mathbb{H}^{n+1} = \left\{ u \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}; \langle u, u \rangle = -1, u_{n+2} > 0 \right\}.$$

Also, we consider the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  as a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  with the Euclidean metric, namely,

$$\mathbb{S}^{n+1} = \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}; \langle u, u \rangle = 1 \right\}$$

**Definition 1.** Let M be a hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ . We say that M is *orientable*, if there exist a unit vector field N normal to  $T_pM$ , for all  $p \in M$ . N is known as *Gauss map* of M. In local coordinates,

$$N_{,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij} X_{,j}, \ 1 \le i \le n,$$

where X is a parametrization of M. The matrix  $W = (W_{ij})$  is known as Weingarten matrix of M.

**Definition 2.** The mean curvature and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M are given by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i , \ K = \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i,$$

where  $k_1, \ldots, k_n$  are the principal curvatures of M.

NEXUS Mathematicæ, Goiânia, v. 4, 2021, e20009.

**Definition 3.** The *rth-mean curvature*  $H_r$  of M is defined by

$$H_r = \frac{S_r(W)}{\binom{n}{r}},$$

where, for intergers  $0 \le r \le n$ ,  $S_r(W)$ , is defined by

$$S_0(W) = 1,$$
  

$$S_r(W) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le n} k_{i_1} \dots k_{i_r}.$$

**Definition 4.** Let M be a hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . M is a Weingarten hypersurface of the spherical type in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , if the rth mean curvatures of M in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  satisfy the equation

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} (-1)^{r-1} r f^{r-1} H_r = 0$$

for some function  $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

From now on, we will consider  $e_c$  given by

$$e_c = \begin{cases} (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0) \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}, \text{ if } c = -1, \\ (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \text{ if } c = 0, \\ (0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}, \text{ if } c = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 5.** Let M be an orientable hypersurface in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  and N the unit normal vector field of M in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , such that  $N(p) \neq e_c, \forall p \in M$ . We define the *distance* and *radius functions*  $d, h : M \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$d(p) = \langle N(p), e_c \rangle, \quad h(p) = \frac{\langle p, e_c \rangle}{1 - d}, p \in M$$
(1)

and the radial curvature  $\overline{k}_i$  of M as

$$\overline{k}_i = \frac{k_i}{hk_i - 1}, \ 1 \le i \le n,\tag{2}$$

with  $hk_i - 1 \neq 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $k_i$  are the principal curvatures of  $M \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ .

NEXUS Mathematicæ, Goiânia, v. 4, 2021, e20009.

**Definition 6.** We define the radial mean curvature  $H_R$  of the hypersurface M in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  as

$$H_R = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{k}_i.$$
(3)

We consider  $M^n(c)$  a hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , such that  $M^n(c) = \mathbb{H}^n$ , if c = -1,  $M^n(c) = \mathbb{R}^n$  if c = 0 or  $M^n(c) = \mathbb{S}^n$ , if c = 1, with unit normal vector field  $N(p) = e_c, \forall p \in M^n(c)$ .

Let  $Y : U \to M^n(c)$  be a local orthogonal parametrization of  $M^n(c)$ . If  $L_{ij} = \langle Y_{,i}, Y_{,j} \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , then  $L_{ii} \neq 0$  and  $L_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . The Christoffel symbols of  $L_{ij}$  are given by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{m} = 0, \text{ for distinct } i, j, m, \ \Gamma_{ij}^{j} = \frac{L_{jj,i}}{2L_{jj}}, \text{ for all } i, j, \ \Gamma_{ii}^{j} = -\frac{L_{ii,j}}{2L_{jj}}, \text{ for } i \neq j.$$
(4)

We consider the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ ,  $e_1 = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$  and  $-e_1 = (0, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$ the north pole and south pole of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ , respectively. The stereographic projection  $P_- : \mathbb{S}^{n+1} - \{-e_1\} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $P_+ : \mathbb{S}^{n+1} - \{e_1\} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  are diffeomorphism given by

$$P_{-}(q) = \frac{q - \langle q, e_1 \rangle e_1}{1 + \langle q, e_1 \rangle}, \ P_{+}(q) = \frac{q - \langle q, e_1 \rangle e_1}{1 - \langle q, e_1 \rangle}, \ q \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}.$$
 (5)

Therefore, the inverse mapping  $P_{-}^{-1}$  and  $P_{+}^{-1}$  are given by

$$P_{-}^{-1}(p) = \frac{(2p, 1 - \langle p, p \rangle)}{1 + \langle p, p \rangle}, \ P_{+}^{-1}(p) = \frac{(2p, \langle p, p \rangle - 1)}{1 + \langle p, p \rangle}, \ p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$
 (6)

We consider  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$  and we define

$$P : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

$$u \to P(u),$$
(7)

where P(u) is the intersection of the hyperplane

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \left\{ (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}; u_{n+2} = 0 \right\}$$

with the line that passes through the points u and  $(0, 0, \ldots, 0, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ . P is known as the hyperbolic stereographic projection.

The following result was obtained in [1].

**Proposition 1.** Let  $P : \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be given by (7). Then P is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  on  $B^{n+1}(1) = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |u| < 1\}$ . Therefore,  $P^{-1} : B^{n+1}(1) \to \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  given by

$$P^{-1}(u) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle u, u \rangle} (2u, 1 + \langle u, u \rangle), \ u \in B^{n+1}(1),$$
(8)

is a parametrization of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ .

The following results were obtained in [10].

**Theorem 1.** Consider  $\Sigma$  an orientable hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , N the unit normal vector field of  $\Sigma$  in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  such that  $N(p) \neq e_c$ ,  $\forall p \in \Sigma$ ,  $h : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  given by (1) and  $X : U \to \Sigma$  a local parametrization of  $p \in \Sigma$ . Then, there exist a local parametrization  $Y : U \to M^n(c)$ , such that

$$X(u) = Y(u) + h(u) [e_c - N(u)], \ u \in U.$$
(9)

If Y is a local orthogonal parametrization of  $M^n(c)$ , then

$$X = Y - \frac{2h}{S} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h_{,i}}{L_{ii}} Y_{,i} - e_c + chY \right),$$
(10)

$$N = \frac{2}{S} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h_{,i}}{L_{ii}} Y_{,i} - e_c + chY \right) + e_c, \tag{11}$$

where

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(h_{,i})^2}{L_{ii}} + ch^2 + 1 \neq 0.$$
 (12)

The first, second and third fundamental forms of  $\Sigma$  in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , are given by

$$I = \langle X_{,i}, X_{,j} \rangle = L_{ij} - \frac{2h}{S} (V_{ji}L_{ii} + V_{ij}L_{jj}) + \frac{4h^2}{S^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{ik}V_{jk}L_{kk},$$
(13)

$$II = -\langle N_{,i}, X_{,j} \rangle = \frac{4h}{S^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{ik} V_{jk} L_{kk} - \frac{2}{S} V_{ji} L_{ii}, \qquad (14)$$

$$III = \langle N_{,i}, N_{,j} \rangle = \frac{4}{S^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{ik} V_{jk} L_{kk}, \qquad (15)$$

respectively, where

$$V_{ij} = \frac{1}{L_{jj}} \left( h_{,ij} - \sum_{l=1}^{n} \Gamma_{ij}^{l} h_{,l} \right) + ch\delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n,$$

$$(16)$$

and  $\Gamma_{ij}^l$  are the Christoffel symbols of the metric  $L_{ij} = \langle Y_{,i}, Y_{,j} \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . The Weingarten matrix  $W = (W_{ij})$  is given by

$$W = 2(SI_n - 2hV)^{-1}V, (17)$$

where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix and  $V = (V_{ij})$ . The condition of regularity of X is given by

$$det(SI_n - 2hV) \neq 0. \tag{18}$$

Conversely, given a local orthogonal parametrization  $Y : U \to M^n(c) \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , where U is a simply connected domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and a differentiable function  $h : U \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then (10) is a hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  with Gauss map given by (11) and (12)-(18) are satisfied.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $X : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \Sigma \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  be a parametrization of a hypersurface  $\Sigma$  given by (10). The following statements are equivalent

- (1) X is parametrized by lines of curvature.
- (2)  $V_{ij} = 0$ , for  $1 \le i \ne j \le n$ .
- (3)  $N_{i} = -k_{i}X_{i}$ , for all  $1 \le i \le n$ , where

$$k_i = \frac{2V_{ii}}{2hV_{ii} - S}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \tag{19}$$

are the principal curvatures of X.

**Remark 1.** From (19), the eigenvalues  $\sigma_i$  of the matrix V are given by

$$\sigma_i = \frac{Sk_i}{2(hk_i - 1)}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \tag{20}$$

where  $k_i$  are the eigenvalues of the Weingarten matrix W. From (20) we have that  $\sigma_i = \frac{S}{2}\overline{k}_i$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{ii} = \frac{nS}{2} H_R.$$
 (21)

Let Y be a local orthogonal parametrization of  $M^n(c) \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  given by

$$Y = \begin{cases} P_{-}^{-1}, P_{+}^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{S}^{n}, \text{ if } c = 1, \\ I : \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}^{n}, \text{ if } c = 0, \\ P^{-1} : B^{n}(1) \to \mathbb{H}^{n}, \text{ if } c = -1, \end{cases}$$
(22)

where  $P_{-}^{-1}$ ,  $P_{+}^{-1}$  are given by (6), I is the identity function of  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  and  $P^{-1}$  is given by (8). The metric L in the parametrization Y is given by  $L_{ij} = \langle Y_{,i}, Y_{,j} \rangle = 0$ , if  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$  and  $L_{ii} = \langle Y_{,i}, Y_{,i} \rangle = J_{c}$ , where

$$J_{c}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{(1+\langle u,u\rangle)^{2}}, & u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \text{ if } c = 1, \\ 1, & u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \text{ if } c = 0, \\ \frac{4}{(1-\langle u,u\rangle)^{2}}, & u \in B^{n}(1), \text{ if } c = -1. \end{cases}$$
(23)

From (4), the Christoffel symbols associated to  $L_{ij}$  are given by

$$\Gamma_{ii}^{i} = \frac{J_{c,i}}{2J_{c}}, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{i} = \frac{J_{c,j}}{2J_{c}} = -\Gamma_{ii}^{j}, \quad 1 \le i \ne j \le n.$$
 (24)

The following result can be found in [10].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\Sigma$  be an orientable hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  given by Theorem 1 where Y is the local orthogonal parametrization of  $M^n(c) \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  given by (22).  $\Sigma$  is a rotation spherical hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  if and only if h is a radial function. In [4], is introduced the generalized Helmholtz equation and present explicit solutions to this generalized Helmholtz equation, these solutions depend on three holomorphic functions.

The two-dimensional Helmholtz equation for  $h: U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\Delta h(u) + k\Omega^2(u)h(u) = 0, \qquad (25)$$

where  $\Omega(u)$  indicates the wave number and k is a non-zero real constant.

**Definition 7.** The two-dimensional generalized Helmholtz equation for  $h : U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$\Delta\left[\frac{1}{\Omega^2(u)}\left(\Delta h(u) + k\Omega^2(u)h(u)\right)\right] = 0,$$
(26)

where  $\Omega(u)$  is a non-zero  $C^2$  function and k is a non-zero real constant.

The following Lemma is an equivalent version to Lemma 1 shown in [11].

**Lemma 1.** If  $f_1, f_2, g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  are holomorphic functions of  $u = u_1 + iu_2$ , such that  $\langle 1, f_1 \rangle + \langle g, f_2 \rangle = 0$ . Then  $f_1 = -\overline{z}_1 g + ic_1$ ,  $f_2 = ic_2 g + z_1$ , where  $c_i$  are real constants and  $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

## 3 Hypersurfaces with radial mean curvature

In this section, we study two classes of hypersurfaces, namely, the DRMC-hypersurfaces and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces.

**Definition 8.** We say that M is a hypersurface with radial mean curvature which depends on the distance and radius functions (in short DRMC-hypersurface) if the relation

$$\frac{H_R}{1-d} + (a-c)h = 0, \ a \in \mathbb{R},$$
(27)

is satisfied.

Also, we say that M is a hypersurface with radial mean curvature of harmonic type (in short HDRMC-hypersurface) if the relation

$$\Delta\left(\frac{H_R}{1-d} + (a-c)h\right) = 0, \tag{28}$$

NEXUS Mathematicæ, Goiânia, v. 4, 2021, e20009.

is satisfied.

We observe that when  $H_R = 0$ , M is a Weingarten hypersurface of the spherical type in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  (see [10] for more details).

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\Sigma$  be an orientable hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  given by Theorem 1, where Y is a local orthogonal parametrization of  $M^n(c) \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ . Then  $\Sigma$  defines a hypersurface in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  satisfying

$$\Delta_L h + nch = \frac{n}{1-d} H_R,\tag{29}$$

where L is the metric of  $M^n(c)$  given by  $L_{ij} = \langle Y_{,i}, Y_{,j} \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and  $\Delta_L$  is the Laplacian operator with respect to the metric L.

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma$  be an orientable hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  given by Theorem 1. From (16) we obtain that the trace of the matrix V in terms of the Laplacian operator is given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{ii} = \Delta_L h + nch.$$
(30)

From (11), we get  $d = \langle N(p), e_c \rangle = 1 - \frac{2}{S}$ , hence,  $S = \frac{2}{1-d}$ . Using (30) in (21) we obtain (29). The proof is complete.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\Sigma$  be an orientable hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  given by Theorem 1 and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (1)  $\Sigma$  is DRMC-hypersurface if and only if  $\triangle_L h + nah = 0$ .
- (2)  $\Sigma$  is HDRMC-hypersurface if and only if  $\Delta(\triangle_L h + nah) = 0$ .
- (3) A DRMC-hypersurface  $\Sigma$  in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  with  $h \neq 0$  is a Weingarten hypersurface of the spherical type if and only if a = c.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3, we get

$$\Delta_L h + nch = \frac{n}{1-d} H_R \iff \Delta_L h + nah = \frac{n}{1-d} H_R + n(a-c)h.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta_L h + nah = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{1-d} H_R + (a-c)h = 0,$$

NEXUS Mathematicæ, Goiânia, v. 4, 2021, e20009.

$$\Delta\left(\triangle_L h + nah\right) = 0 \iff \Delta\left(\frac{1}{1-d}H_R + (a-c)h\right) = 0$$

From these expressions we get (1) and (2).

(3) If  $\Sigma$  is a Weingarten hypersurface of the spherical type then  $H_R = 0$  and consequently (a - c)h = 0, therefore a = c.

Conversely, if a = c then  $\frac{1}{1-d}H_R = 0$ . The proof is complete.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\Sigma$  be an orientable hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 2$  given by Theorem 1 where Y is the local orthogonal parametrization of  $M^n(c) \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ given by (22). Then  $\Sigma$  is a DRMC-hypersurface or a HDRMC-hypersurface if and only if h is a solution of the equation given by

$$\frac{\Delta h}{J_c} + \frac{(n-2)}{2(J_c)^2} \left\langle \nabla J_c, \nabla h \right\rangle + anh = 0, \tag{31}$$

$$\Delta \left[ \frac{\Delta h}{J_c} + \frac{(n-2)}{2(J_c)^2} \left\langle \nabla J_c, \nabla h \right\rangle + anh \right] = 0, \tag{32}$$

respectively, where  $J_c$  is given by (23).

*Proof.* By Corollary 1, we must calculate  $\Delta_L h$  (the Laplacian operator of the function h with respect to the metric L) in the parameterization Y given by (22). From Remark 1 we have that  $L_{ij} = 0$ , if  $1 \leq 1 \neq j \leq n$  and  $L_{ii} = J_c, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus, from definition of Laplacian operator we obtain that

$$\Delta_L h = \frac{\Delta h}{J_c} + \frac{(n-2)}{2(J_c)^2} \left\langle \nabla J_c, \nabla h \right\rangle$$

Hence, it follows (31) and (32).

**Remark 2.** For n = 2, from Theorem 3 we obtain that the DRMC-surfaces and the HDRMC-surfaces satisfy

$$\frac{1}{J_c}\left(\Delta h + 2aJ_ch\right) = 0,\tag{33}$$

$$\Delta \left[ \frac{1}{J_c} \left( \Delta h + 2aJ_c h \right) \right] = 0, \tag{34}$$

respectively.

NEXUS Mathematicæ, Goiânia, v. 4, 2021, e20009.



In the following result we present a way to obtain DRMC-surfaces and HDRMCsurfaces in  $\overline{M}^{3}(c)$  using two holomorphic functions.

**Corollary 2.** On the conditions of the Theorem 3. i) For  $n = 2, a = 1, c = \pm 1$ ,

- (1) the solutions of (34) are given by  $h = \frac{\langle 1, A \rangle + \langle u, B \rangle}{1 + c |u|^2}$ , where A, B are holomorphic functions,
- (2) the solutions of (33) are given by  $h = \frac{\langle 1, A \rangle + \langle u, B \rangle}{1 + c |u|^2}$ , where A is a holomorphic function and B is a holomorphic function such that  $B = \int (cA'u cA + ic_1) du$ ,  $c_1$  is a real constant.
- ii) For  $n = 2, a \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq 0, c = 0$ ,
- (3) some solutions of (33) are given by

$$h(u) = \frac{\Omega C_1 C_2}{a} e^{-\left(\frac{c_1 - 2ac_2}{2|z_1|^2}\right)(b_1 u_1 + a_1 u_2)} \sin\left(\frac{\Omega}{2|z_1|^2}(a_1 u_1 - b_1 u_2)\right), \quad (35)$$

(4) some solutions of (34) are given by

$$h(u) = -\frac{1}{2a^{2}|z_{1}|^{2}}e^{-2\alpha(b_{1}u_{1}+a_{1}u_{2})}\left(C_{2}C_{3}K_{1}e^{\alpha(b_{1}u_{1}+a_{1}u_{2})}(a_{1}\cos(\alpha(a_{1}u_{1}-b_{1}u_{2})) + b_{1}\sin(\alpha(a_{1}u_{1}-b_{1}u_{2}))) + C_{1}C_{3}K_{2}e^{\left(\alpha+\frac{\Omega}{2|z_{1}|^{2}}\right)}(a_{1}\cos(\beta(a_{1}u_{1}-b_{1}u_{2})) + b_{1}\sin(\beta(a_{1}u_{1}-b_{1}u_{2}))) + 4|z_{1}|^{2}C_{1}C_{2}e^{\frac{\Omega}{2|z_{1}|^{2}}(b_{1}u_{1}+a_{1}u_{2})} \times (36)$$
$$\sin\left(\frac{\Omega}{2|z_{1}|^{2}}(a_{1}u_{1}-b_{1}u_{2})\right)\right),$$

where

$$c_1, c_2, C_1, C_2, C_3 \in \mathbb{R}, z_1 = a_1 + ib_1 \in \mathbb{C}, \Omega = \sqrt{c_1^2 + 4a(2|z_1|^2 - c_1c_2 + ac_2^2)}, \\ \alpha = \frac{c_1 - 2ac_2 + \Omega}{4|z_1|^2}, \ \beta = \frac{c_1 - 2ac_2 - \Omega}{4|z_1|^2}, \ K_1 = a(4|z_1|^2 - 2c_1c_2) + c_1(c_1 - \Omega), \\ K_2 = a(4|z_1|^2 - 2c_1c_2) + c_1(c_1 + \Omega).$$

*Proof.* i) We will show that the given a holomorphic function g, non-zero real con-

stants r, s with k = rs and  $\Omega(u) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}|g'|}{r + s|g|^2}$ , the functions

$$h(u) = \frac{\langle 1, A \rangle + \langle g, B \rangle}{r + s \left| g \right|^2},\tag{37}$$

are solutions of the two-dimensional generalized Helmholtz equation (26), where A and B are holomorphic functions.

Moreover, (37) are solutions of the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation (25) if the holomorphic functions A and B satisfy

$$B(u) = \frac{1}{r} \int (sgA' - sg'A + ic_1g')du.$$
 (38)

Consider

$$h = \frac{f}{T}, \text{ where } T = r + s|g|^2.$$
(39)

Calculating the Laplacian of h we have

$$\Delta h = \frac{\Delta f}{T} + 2\left\langle \nabla f, \nabla \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \right\rangle + f\Delta \left(\frac{1}{T}\right).$$

Using the expression of T given in (39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta h &= \frac{\Delta f}{T} - 4s \left\langle \nabla f, \frac{g\overline{g'}}{T^2} \right\rangle + f \left( -\frac{4s|g'|^2}{T^2} + \frac{8s^2|gg'|^2}{T^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Delta f}{T} - 4 \left\langle \nabla f, \frac{g\overline{g'}}{T^2} \right\rangle + 4fs|g'|^2 \left( \frac{1}{T^2} - \frac{2r}{T^3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This equation can be written as

$$\frac{T^2}{|g'|^2} \left( \Delta h + \frac{8rs|g'|^2}{T^2} h \right) = T \frac{\Delta f}{|g'|^2} - 4s \left\langle \nabla f, \frac{g}{g'} \right\rangle + 4sf.$$
(40)

Thus, for  $\Omega = \frac{2\sqrt{2}|g'|}{r+s|g|^2}$ , the function  $h = \frac{f}{T}$  is a solution of the generalized Helmholtz equation (26), if and only if

$$\Delta\left\{T\frac{\Delta f}{|g'|^2} - 4s\left\langle\nabla f, \frac{g}{g'}\right\rangle + 4sf\right\} = T\Delta\left(\frac{\Delta f}{|g'|^2}\right) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the solutions of the equation  $\Delta\left(\frac{\Delta f}{|g'|^2}\right) = 0$  are given by  $f = \langle 1, A \rangle + \langle g, B \rangle$ , where A, B are holomorphic functions. Thus, we get (37). Also, it is easy to show that (40) is equivalent to

$$\left\langle 1, r\frac{B'}{g'} - s\frac{gA'}{g'} + sA \right\rangle = 0.$$

From this expression we obtain

$$r\frac{B'}{g'} - s\frac{gA'}{g'} + sA = ic_1.$$

Hence, we get (38). Therefore, (1) and (2) follows from (33)-(38), for r = a = 1,  $s = c = \pm 1$ , g(u) = u and  $\Omega(u) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{1 + c |u|^2}$ .

ii) We observe that for a = 0, the harmonic and biharmonic functions are solutions of (33) and (34), respectively.

For  $a \neq 0$ , we will find solutions of (33) and (34) of the form

$$h = \langle A, B \rangle, \tag{41}$$

where A, B are holomorphic functions.

Calculating the Laplacian of (41), we get  $\Delta h = 4 \langle A', B' \rangle$ , using this expression in (33) it follows that

$$\left\langle 1, \frac{aB}{A} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{2A'}{A}, \frac{B'}{A} \right\rangle = 0.$$

By Lemma 1 we obtain

$$B = -\frac{2\overline{z}_1}{a}A' + \frac{ic_1}{a}A, \tag{42}$$

$$B' = 2ic_2A' + z_1A. (43)$$

From (42)

$$B' = -\frac{2\overline{z}_1}{a}A'' + \frac{ic_1}{a}A'.$$
 (44)

Thus, from (43) and (44) we obtain

$$2\overline{z_1}A'' + i(2ac_2 - c_1)A' + az_1A = 0,$$

whose solution is given by

$$A(u) = C_1 e^{i\left(\frac{c_1 - 2ac_2 - \Omega}{4\overline{z}_1}\right)u} + C_2 e^{i\left(\frac{c_1 - 2ac_2 + \Omega}{4\overline{z}_1}\right)u}.$$
(45)

Using (45) in (42) we obtain

$$B(u) = \frac{i}{2a} \left( C_1(c_1 + 2ac_2 + \Omega) e^{i\left(\frac{c_1 - 2ac_2 - \Omega}{4\overline{z}_1}\right)u} + C_2(c_1 + 2ac_2 - \Omega) e^{i\left(\frac{c_1 - 2ac_2 + \Omega}{4\overline{z}_1}\right)u} \right).$$
(46)

Thus, (35) follows from (41), (45) and (46).

Similarly, calculating the Laplacian of (41) and using (34) we obtain

$$\left\langle 1, \frac{aB'}{A'} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{2A''}{A}, \frac{B''}{A'} \right\rangle = 0.$$

By Lemma 1 we obtain

$$B' = -\frac{2\overline{z}_1}{a}A'' + \frac{ic_1}{a}A', \tag{47}$$

$$B'' = 2ic_2A'' + z_1A'. (48)$$

From (47)

$$B'' = -\frac{2\overline{z}_1}{a}A''' + \frac{ic_1}{a}A''.$$
(49)

Thus, from (48) and (49) we obtain

$$2\overline{z_1}A''' + i(2ac_2 - c_1)A'' + az_1A' = 0,$$

whose solution is given by

$$A(u) = -4i\overline{z_1} \left( \frac{C_1}{c_1 - 2ac_2 - \Omega} e^{i\left(\frac{c_1 - 2ac_2 - \Omega}{4\overline{z_1}}\right)u} + \frac{C_2}{c_1 - 2ac_2 + \Omega} e^{i\left(\frac{c_1 - 2ac_2 + \Omega}{4\overline{z_1}}\right)u} \right) + C_3.$$
(50)

Using (50) in (47) and integrating, we obtain

$$B(u) = -\frac{i}{2a^{2}z_{1}} \left( C_{1}(4a|z_{1}|^{2} - 2ac_{1}c_{2} + c_{1}(c_{1} + \Omega))e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1} - 2ac_{2} - \Omega}{4\overline{z}_{1}}\right)u} + C_{2}(4a|z_{1}|^{2} - 2ac_{1}c_{2} + c_{1}(c_{1} - \Omega))e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1} - 2ac_{2} + \Omega}{4\overline{z}_{1}}\right)u} \right).$$
(51)

Thus, (36) follows from (41), (50) and (51). Therefore, (3) and (4) are proven. The proof is complete.

The following result classifies the DRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a rotation spherical hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  given by Theorem 3.  $\Sigma$  is a DRMC-hypersurface if and only if h is given by

(1) for a = 0, c = 0,

$$h(u) = \begin{cases} C_1 + 2C_2 \ln |u|, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{2C_1 |u|^{2-n}}{2-n} + c_2, & \text{if } n \neq 2, \end{cases}$$

(2) for  $a = 0, c = \pm 1$ ,

$$h(u) = \begin{cases} C_1 + 2C_2 \ln |u|, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ C_1 \left( |u|^2 - \frac{1}{|u|^2} \right) + 4cC_1 \ln |u| + C_2, & \text{if } n = 4, \\ C_1 (-c)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} Beta \left( -c|u|^2, \frac{2-n}{2}, n-1 \right) + C_2, & \text{if } n \neq 2, n \neq 4, \end{cases}$$

(3) for  $a \neq 0, c = 0$ ,

$$h(u) = |u|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \left( C_1 BesselJ\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1, \sqrt{an}|u|\right) + C_2 BesselY\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1, \sqrt{an}|u|\right) \right),$$

(4) for  $a \neq 0, c = \pm 1, n = 2$ ,

$$\begin{split} h(u) &= C_1 (1+c|u|^2)^{\frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}} HgF_1\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, 1+c|u|^2\right) + C_2 (1+c|u|^2)^{\frac{1+\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}} HgF_1\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{8ac+1}, 1+c|u|^2\right), \end{split}$$

NEXUS Mathematicæ, Goiânia, v. 4, 2021, e20009.

(5) for  $a \neq 0, c = 1, n = 4$ ,

$$\begin{split} h(u) &= C_1 (1+|u|^2)^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}} HgF_1\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{3+\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, 1+|u|^2\right) + C_2 (1+|u|^2)^{\frac{3+\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}} HgF_1\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{3+\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{9+16a}, 1+|u|^2\right), \end{split}$$

(6) for  $a \neq 0, c = -1, n = 4$ ,

$$h(u) = C_1(1-|u|^2)^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}} HgF_1\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, 1-|u|^2\right) + C_2(1-|u|^2)^{\frac{3+\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}} HgF_1\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{9-16a}, 1-|u|^2\right),$$

(7) for  $a \neq 0, c = \pm 1, n \neq 2, n \neq 4$ ,

$$\begin{split} h(u) &= (1+c|u|^2)^{\frac{n-1-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}} \left( C_1 HgF_1\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}, \frac{n-1-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, -c|u|^2\right) + C_2|u|^{2-n} \times \\ &\quad HgF_1\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}, \frac{3-n-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}, \frac{4-n}{2}, -c|u|^2\right) \right), \end{split}$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 4.17 in [10], we get that for  $n \ge 2$ , h is a radial function i.e.  $h(u) = f(t), t = |u|^2$ .

where  $HgF_1 = Hypergeometric 2F_1$ .



$$\Delta h = 4tf''(t) + 2nf'(t), \ \nabla h = 2uf'(t), \ \nabla J_c = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } c = 0, \\ -\frac{16cu}{(1+ct)^3}, \text{ if } c = \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

Using these expressions in (31) we obtain

$$4tf''(t) + 2nf'(t) + anf(t) = 0, \quad for \ c = 0, \tag{52}$$

$$2tf''(t) + \frac{(n+ct(4-n))f'(t)}{1+ct} + \frac{2anf(t)}{(1+ct)^2} = 0, \quad for \ c = \pm 1.$$
(53)

Now we will find the solutions of equations (52) and (53). Case: a = 0. The solutions of (52) are given by

for n = 2

$$f(t) = C_1 + C_2 \ln t,$$

for  $n \neq 2$ 

$$f(t) = \frac{2C_1 t^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}{2-n} + C_2.$$

The solutions of (53) are given by for n = 2

$$f(t) = C_1 + C_2 \ln t,$$

for n = 4

$$f(t) = C_1\left(t - \frac{1}{t}\right) + 2cC_1\ln t + C_2,$$

for  $n \neq 2, n \neq 4$ 

$$f(t) = C_1(-c)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} Beta\left(-ct, \frac{2-n}{2}, n-1\right) + C_2.$$

Case:  $a \neq 0$ .

The solutions of (52) are given by

$$f(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{4}} \left( C_1 BesselJ\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1, \sqrt{ant}\right) + C_2 BesselY\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1, \sqrt{ant}\right) \right)$$

The solutions of (53) are given by

for n = 2

$$f(t) = C_1(1+ct)^{\frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}} HgF_1\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{8ac+1}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{8ac+1}, 1+ct\right),$$

for n = 4, c = 1

$$f(t) = C_1(1+t)^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}} HgF_1\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{3+\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, \frac{3+\sqrt{9+16a}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{9+16a}, 1+t\right),$$

for n = 4, c = -1

$$f(t) = C_1(1-t)^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}} HgF_1\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{9-16a}}{2} \times HgF_1\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{9-16a}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{9-16a}, 1-t\right),$$

for  $n \neq 2, n \neq 4$ 

$$f(t) = (1+ct)^{\frac{n-1-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}} \left( C_1 HgF_1\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}, \frac{n-1-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, -ct\right) + C_2 t^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \times HgF_1\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}, \frac{3-n-\sqrt{(n-1)^2+4acn}}{2}, \frac{4-n}{2}, -ct\right) \right).$$

The proof is complete.

**Remark 3.** From Theorem 3, in the case of HDRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation, the equation (32) is equivalent to

$$8t^{2}f^{(4)}(t) + 8(n+2)tf'''(t) + 2n(n+2+at)f''(t) + an^{2}f(t) = 0, \text{ if } c = 0,(54)$$

$$4t^{2}(1+ct)^{2}f^{(4)}(t) + (32t^{3}+4ct^{2}(n+10)+4t(n+2))f'''(t) \qquad (55)$$

$$+ ((56+2n-n^{2})t^{2}+4(8c+an+3cn)t+n^{2}+2n)f''(t)$$

$$+ (2(4-n)(n+2)t+2an^{2}+4cn)f'(t) + \frac{8a(1-n)tf(t)}{(1+ct)^{2}} = 0, \text{ if } c = \pm 1.$$

The following result classifies the HDRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation for c = 0 i.e. when  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(0) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

**Corollary 4.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a rotation spherical hypersurface of  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(0)$  given by Theorem 3.  $\Sigma$  is a HDRMC-hypersurface if and only if h is given by

(1) for a = 0,

$$h(u) = \begin{cases} (C_4 - C_2)|u|^2 + 2(|u|^2C_2 - C_1)\ln|u| + C_3, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ C_4|u|^2 - \frac{(3C_2 - \sqrt{15}C_1)\cos(\sqrt{15}\ln|u|) + (\sqrt{15}C_2 + 3C_1)\sin(\sqrt{15}\ln|u|)}{24|u|} \\ + C_3, & \text{if } n = 4, \\ \frac{4|u|^{2-n}\left((n-4)C_1 + nC_2|u|^2\right)}{n(n-2)(n-4)} + C_4|u|^2 + C_3, & \text{if } n \neq 2, n \neq 4, \end{cases}$$

(2) for  $a \neq 0$ ,

NEXUS Mathematicæ, Goiânia, v. 4, 2021, e20009.

$$h(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{2aC_{1}\ln|u| - 2C_{2}BesselJ\left(0,\sqrt{2a}|u|\right) - 4C_{3}BesselY\left(0,\sqrt{2a}|u|\right) + 2C_{2}}{a} \\ +C_{4}, \ if \ n = 2, \\ -2C_{3}MeijerG\left(\left\{\{0\}, \{-\frac{1}{2}\}\right\}, \left\{\{0,0\}, \{-1,-\frac{1}{2}\}\right\}, \sqrt{a}|u|,\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ -\frac{C_{2}BesselI\left(1,2\sqrt{-a}|u|\right)}{\sqrt{-a}|u|} - \frac{C_{1}}{|u|^{2}} + C_{2}, \ if \ n = 4, \\ \frac{|u|^{-n}}{a^{2}n} \left(\frac{2^{2-n}(an|u|^{2})^{\frac{n}{4}}C_{3}Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{Gamma\left(\frac{n+2}{2}\right)} \left(2(an|u|^{2})^{\frac{n}{4}} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}}\sqrt{an}|u| \times \right) \\ BesselJ\left(\frac{n-2}{2}, \sqrt{an}|u|\right)Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right) - \frac{a|u|^{2}}{n-2}\left(2anC_{1} - 4C_{2}(n-2)\right) \\ +C_{2}n(n-2)^{2}Gamma\left(-\frac{n}{2}\right)HgF_{1}R\left(\frac{4-n}{2}, -\frac{an|u|^{2}}{4}\right)\right) + C_{4}, \\ if \ n \neq 2, n \neq 4, \end{cases}$$

where  $HgF_1R = Hypergeometric 0F1Regularized$ .

*Proof.* Similarly to the proof of Corollary 3, from Theorem 4.17 in [10], we get that for  $n \ge 2$ , h is a radial function i.e. h(u) = f(t),  $t = |u|^2$ . On the other hand, from Remark 3 the expression (32) is equivalent to (54), thus, we will find the solutions of this equation.

The solutions of equation (54) are given by for a = 0

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} (C_4 - C_2)t + (tC_2 - C_1)\ln t + C_3, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ C_4 t - \frac{(3C_2 - \sqrt{15}C_1)\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{15}\ln t}{2}\right) + (\sqrt{15}C_2 + 3C_1)\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{15}\ln t}{2}\right)}{24\sqrt{t}} \\ + C_3, & \text{if } n = 4, \\ \frac{4t^{\frac{2-n}{2}}\left((n-4)C_1 + nC_2t\right)}{n(n-2)(n-4)} + C_4t + C_3, & \text{if } n \neq 2, n \neq 4, \end{cases}$$

for 
$$a \neq 0$$

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{aC_1 \ln t - 2C_2 BesselJ\left(0, \sqrt{2at}\right) - 4C_3 BesselY\left(0, \sqrt{2at}\right) + 2C_2}{a} \\ +C_4, \text{ if } n = 2, \\ -2C_3 MeijerG\left(\left\{\{0\}, \{-\frac{1}{2}\}\right\}, \left\{\{0,0\}, \{-1,-\frac{1}{2}\}\right\}, \sqrt{at}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ -\frac{C_2 BesselI\left(1,2\sqrt{-at}\right)}{\sqrt{-at}} - \frac{C_1}{t} + C_2, \text{ if } n = 4, \\ \frac{t^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{a^2n} \left(\frac{2^{2-n}(ant)^{\frac{n}{4}}C_3 Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{Gamma\left(\frac{n+2}{2}\right)} \left(2(ant)^{\frac{n}{4}} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}}\sqrt{ant} \times BesselJ\left(\frac{n-2}{2}, \sqrt{ant}\right) Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right) - \frac{at}{n-2}\left(2anC_1 - 4C_2(n-2)\right) \\ +C_2n(n-2)^2 Gamma\left(-\frac{n}{2}\right) HgF_1R\left(\frac{4-n}{2}, -\frac{ant}{4}\right)\right) + C_4, \\ \text{if } n \neq 2, n \neq 4. \end{cases}$$

The proof is complete.

4 Conclusions

The DRMC-hypersurfaces and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces in space forms  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$ , c = -1, 0, 1 generalize the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type studied by [10]. In the case n = 2, using two holomorphic functions a way to construct DRMC-surfaces and HDRMC-surfaces in  $\overline{M}^3(c)$  is obtained. Finally, as a first step, we classify the DRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in  $\overline{M}^{n+1}(c)$  and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . It would be interesting to study DRMC-hypersurfaces and HDRMC-hypersurfaces with some geometric properties such as embeddededness, completeness. In this sense, future research is being carried out.

#### References

- BARBOSA, A. L. Possibilidade de confinamento no modelo SU(2)-Cor, Dissertation, Universidade Estadual Paulista, UNESP, 1994.
- [2] CORRO, A. V. Generalized Weingarten surfaces of bryant type in hyperbolic 3-space, Matemática Contemporânea., 30, p. 71–89, 2006.



- [3] CORRO, A. V.; FERNANDES, K. V.; RIVEROS, C. M. C. Generalized Weingarten surfaces of harmonic type in hyperbolic 3-space, Dif. Geom. and its Appl., 58, p. 202–226, 2018.
- [4] CORRO, A. V.; RIVEROS, C. M. C. Generalized Helmholtz equation, Selecciones Matemáticas., 6(1), p. 18–24, 2019.
- [5] FERREIRA, W.; ROITMAN, P. Hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space associated with the conformal scalar curvature equation δu + ku<sup>n+2</sup>/<sub>n-2</sub> = 0, Dif. Geom. and its Appl., 27, p. 279–295, 2009.
- [6] FOKAS, A. S.; GELFAND, I. M. Surfaces on Lie Groups, on Lie Algebras, and Their Integrability, Commun. Math. Phys., 177, p. 203–220, 1996.
- [7] GÁLVEZ, J. A.; MARTÍNEZ, A.; MILÁN, F. Complete linear Weingarten surfaces of bryant type. a plateau problem at infinity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356, p. 3405–3428, 2004.
- [8] GROHS, P.; MITRA, N. J.; POTTMANN, H. Laguerre minimal surfaces, isotropic geometry and linear elasticity, Adv. Comput. Math., 31(4), p. 391– 419, 2009.
- [9] MACHADO, C. D. F. Hipersuperfícies Weingarten de tipo esférico, thesis, Universidade de Brasíla, Brasília-DF, 2018.
- [10] REYES, E. O. S.; RIVEROS, C. M. C. Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type in space forms, Serdica Mathematical journal., 45(3), p. 259–288, 2019.
- [11] RIVEROS, C. M. C.; CORRO, A. M. V. Surfaces with constant Chebyshev angle, Tokyo J. Math., 35(2), p. 359–366, 2012.

Submetido em 14 set. 2021. Aceito em 23 nov. 2021.