# Hypersurfaces with radial mean curvature in space forms 

Hipersuperfícies com curvatura média radial em formas espaciais

Carlos M. C. Riveros*<br>Armando M. V. Corro ${ }^{\dagger}$<br>Edwin O. S. Reyes ${ }^{\ddagger}$


#### Abstract

In this paper, we study two classes of hypersurfaces, namely, the DRMChypersurfaces and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces in space forms $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c), c=-1,0,1$, these classes include the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type obtained in [10]. For $n=2$, we present a way to obtain DRMC-surfaces and HDRMC-surfaces in $\bar{M}^{3}(c)$ using two holomorphic functions. Also, we classify the DRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.
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Resumo: Neste artigo, estudamos duas classes de hipersuperficies, a saber, as DRMChipersuperfícies e as HDRMC-hipersuperfícies em formas espaciais $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c), c=-1,0,1$, essas classes incluem as hipersuperfícies Weingarten de tipo esférico obtidas em [10]. Para $n=2$, apresentamos uma forma de obter DRMC-superfícies e HDRMC-superfícies em $\bar{M}^{3}(c)$ usando duas funções holomorfas. Também classificamos as DRMC-hipersuperfícies de rotação em $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ e as HDRMC-hipersuperfícies de rotação em $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.
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## 1 Introduction

The surfaces $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ satisfying a functional relation of the form $W(H, K)=0$, where $H$ and $K$ are the mean and Gaussian curvatures of the surface $M$, respectively, are called Weingarten surfaces. Examples of Weingarten surfaces are the surfaces of revolution and the surfaces of constant mean or Gaussian curvature. In [7], the authors study an important class of surfaces satisfying a linear relation of the form

$$
a H+b K+c=0
$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a^{2}+b^{2} \neq 0$. These surfaces are called linear Weingarten surfaces. The paper [6], is devoted to the integrability of linear Weingarten surfaces.

Corro, in [2] presented a way of parameterizing surfaces as envelopes of a congruence of spheres in which an envelope is contained in a plane and with radius function $h$ associated with a hydrodynamic type system. As an application, it studies the surfaces in hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ satisfying the relation

$$
2 a c h h^{\frac{2(c-1)}{c}}(H-1)+\left(a+b-a c h^{\frac{2(c-1)}{c}}\right) K=0
$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, a+b \neq 0, c \neq 0, H$ is the mean curvature and $K$ is the Gaussian curvature. This class of surfaces includes the Bryant surfaces and the flat surfaces of the hyperbolic space and are called generalized Weingarten surfaces of Bryant type.

In [3] the authors study the surfaces $M$ in the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ satisfying the relation

$$
2(H-1) e^{2 \mu}+K\left(1-e^{2 \mu}\right)=0
$$

where $\mu$ is a harmonic function with respect to the quadratic form $\sigma=-K I+2(H-$ 1) $I I, I$ and $I I$ are the first and the second quadratic form of $M$. These surfaces are called Generalized Weingarten surfaces of harmonic type.

In [5], the authors study a class of oriented hypersurfaces $M$ in hyperbolic space $(n+1)$-dimensional that satisfy a Weingarten relation in the form

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{n}(c-n+2 r)\binom{n}{r} H_{r}=0
$$

where $c$ is a real constant and $H_{r}$ is the rth mean curvature of the hypersurface $M$. They show that this class of hypersurfaces is characterized by a harmonic application
derived from the two hyperbolic Gauss map. Looking these hypersurfaces as orthogonal to a congruence of geodesics, they also show the relation of such hypersurfaces with solutions of the equation $\Delta u+k u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}=0$, where $k \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

In [9], the author present a way to parameterize hypersurfaces as congruence of spheres in which an envelope is contained in a hyperplane. Using this parametrization is presented a generalization of the surfaces of the spherical type (Laguerre minimal surfaces) studied in [8], namely the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type, i.e. the oriented hypersurfaces of the Euclidean space $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying a Weingarten relation of the form

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n}(-1)^{r+1} r f^{r-1}\binom{n}{r} H_{r}=0
$$

where $f \in C^{\infty}(M ; \mathbb{R})$ and $H_{r}$ is the rth mean curvature of $M$. Later, Reyes and Riveros [10], generalize the results obtained by [9] in space forms.

In this paper, we study two classes of hypersurfaces, namely, the DRMC-hypersurfaces and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces in space forms $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c), c=-1,0,1$, defined as: An orientable hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n \geq 2$, is called a hypersurface with radial mean curvature which depends on the distance and radius functions (in short, DRMC-hypersurface) if satisfy

$$
\frac{H_{R}}{1-d}+(a-c) h=0, a \in \mathbb{R}
$$

An orientable hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n \geq 2$, is called a hypersurface with radial mean curvature of harmonic type (in short HDRMC-hypersurface) if satisfy

$$
\Delta\left(\frac{H_{R}}{1-d}+(a-c) h\right)=0
$$

where $H_{R}$ is the radial mean curvature.
We observe that when $a=c=0$ and $H_{R}=0$ we obtain the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type estudied by Machado in [9], also, when $a=c$ and $H_{R}=0$ we obtain the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type estudied by Reyes and Riveros in [10]. For $n=2$ we present a way to obtain DRMC-surfaces and HDRMC-surfaces in $\bar{M}^{3}(c)$ using two holomorphic functions. Also, we classify the DRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces of
rotation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ be, the simply connected space form of sectional curvature $c=-1,1,0$. $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ will denote the $(\mathrm{n}+1)$-dimensional hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, if $c=-1$, the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ when $c=0$ or the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, if $c=1$.
Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in U$. The partial derivatives of $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$, with respect to $u_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, will be denoted by $f_{, i}$.
We denote by $\mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ the space of (n+2)-tuples $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n+2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ with the Lorentzian metric $\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} u_{i} v_{i}-u_{n+2} v_{n+2}$, where $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n+2}\right)$ and we consider the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ as a hypersurface of $\mathbb{L}^{n+2}$, namely,

$$
\mathbb{H}^{n+1}=\left\{u \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2} ;\langle u, u\rangle=-1, u_{n+2}>0\right\} .
$$

Also, we consider the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ as a hypersurface of $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ with the Euclidean metric, namely,

$$
\mathbb{S}^{n+1}=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} ;\langle u, u\rangle=1\right\}
$$

Definition 1. Let $M$ be a hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$. We say that $M$ is orientable, if there exist a unit vector field $N$ normal to $T_{p} M$, for all $p \in M . N$ is known as Gauss map of $M$. In local coordinates,

$$
N_{, i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{i j} X_{, j}, 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

where $X$ is a parametrization of $M$. The matrix $W=\left(W_{i j}\right)$ is known as Weingarten matrix of $M$.

Definition 2. The mean curvature and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of $M$ are given by

$$
H=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}, K=\prod_{i=1}^{n} k_{i},
$$

where $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}$ are the principal curvatures of $M$.

Definition 3. The rth-mean curvature $H_{r}$ of $M$ is defined by

$$
H_{r}=\frac{S_{r}(W)}{\binom{n}{r}},
$$

where, for intergers $0 \leq r \leq n, S_{r}(W)$, is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{0}(W)=1, \\
& S_{r}(W)=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{r} \leq n} k_{i_{1}} \ldots k_{i_{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 4. Let $M$ be a hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 2 . M$ is a Weingarten hypersurface of the spherical type in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$, if the rth mean curvatures of $M$ in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ satisfy the equation

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n}(-1)^{r-1} r f^{r-1} H_{r}=0
$$

for some function $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$.
From now on, we will consider $e_{c}$ given by

$$
e_{c}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(0,0, \ldots, 0,1,0) \in \mathbb{L}^{n+2}, \text { if } c=-1 \\
(0,0, \ldots, 0,1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \text { if } c=0 \\
(0,0, \ldots, 0,0,1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}, \text { if } c=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 5. Let $M$ be an orientable hypersurface in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ and $N$ the unit normal vector field of $M$ in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$, such that $N(p) \neq e_{c}, \forall p \in M$. We define the distance and radius functions $d, h: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(p)=\left\langle N(p), e_{c}\right\rangle, \quad h(p)=\frac{\left\langle p, e_{c}\right\rangle}{1-d}, p \in M \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the radial curvature $\bar{k}_{i}$ of $M$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{k}_{i}=\frac{k_{i}}{h k_{i}-1}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h k_{i}-1 \neq 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $k_{i}$ are the principal curvatures of $M \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$.

Definition 6. We define the radial mean curvature $H_{R}$ of the hypersurface $M$ in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{R}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{k}_{i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider $M^{n}(c)$ a hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$, such that $M^{n}(c)=\mathbb{H}^{n}$, if $c=-1$, $M^{n}(c)=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ if $c=0$ or $M^{n}(c)=\mathbb{S}^{n}$, if $c=1$, with unit normal vector field $N(p)=e_{c}, \forall p \in M^{n}(c)$.
Let $Y: U \rightarrow M^{n}(c)$ be a local orthogonal parametrization of $M^{n}(c)$. If $L_{i j}=$ $\left\langle Y_{, i}, Y_{, j}\right\rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, then $L_{i i} \neq 0$ and $L_{i j}=0$ for $i \neq j$. The Christoffel symbols of $L_{i j}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i j}^{m}=0, \text { for distinct } i, j, m, \Gamma_{i j}^{j}=\frac{L_{j j, i}}{2 L_{j j}}, \text { for all } i, j, \Gamma_{i i}^{j}=-\frac{L_{i i, j}}{2 L_{j j}} \text {, for } i \neq j \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}, e_{1}=(0,0, \ldots, 0,1)$ and $-e_{1}=(0,0, \ldots, 0,-1)$ the north pole and south pole of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, respectively. The stereographic projection $P_{-}: \mathbb{S}^{n+1}-\left\{-e_{1}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $P_{+}: \mathbb{S}^{n+1}-\left\{e_{1}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are diffeomorphism given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-}(q)=\frac{q-\left\langle q, e_{1}\right\rangle e_{1}}{1+\left\langle q, e_{1}\right\rangle}, P_{+}(q)=\frac{q-\left\langle q, e_{1}\right\rangle e_{1}}{1-\left\langle q, e_{1}\right\rangle}, q \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the inverse mapping $P_{-}^{-1}$ and $P_{+}^{-1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-}^{-1}(p)=\frac{(2 p, 1-\langle p, p\rangle)}{1+\langle p, p\rangle}, P_{+}^{-1}(p)=\frac{(2 p,\langle p, p\rangle-1)}{1+\langle p, p\rangle}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ and we define

$$
\begin{align*}
P: & \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}  \tag{7}\\
& u \rightarrow P(u),
\end{align*}
$$

where $P(u)$ is the intersection of the hyperplane

$$
\mathbb{R}^{n+1}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2} ; u_{n+2}=0\right\}
$$

with the line that passes through the points $u$ and $(0,0, \ldots, 0,-1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} . P$ is known as the hyperbolic stereographic projection.

The following result was obtained in [1].

Proposition 1. Let $P: \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be given by (7). Then $P$ is a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ on $B^{n+1}(1)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ;|u|<1\right\}$.
Therefore, $P^{-1}: B^{n+1}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{-1}(u)=\frac{1}{1-\langle u, u\rangle}(2 u, 1+\langle u, u\rangle), u \in B^{n+1}(1) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a parametrization of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{L}^{n+2}$.

The following results were obtained in [10].

Theorem 1. Consider $\Sigma$ an orientable hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c), N$ the unit normal vector field of $\Sigma$ in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ such that $N(p) \neq e_{c}, \forall p \in \Sigma, h: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by (1) and $X: U \rightarrow \Sigma$ a local parametrization of $p \in \Sigma$. Then, there exist a local parametrization $Y: U \rightarrow M^{n}(c)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(u)=Y(u)+h(u)\left[e_{c}-N(u)\right], u \in U . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If Y is a local orthogonal parametrization of $M^{n}(c)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
X=Y-\frac{2 h}{S}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h_{, i}}{L_{i i}} Y_{, i}-e_{c}+c h Y\right),  \tag{10}\\
N=\frac{2}{S}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h_{, i}}{L_{i i}} Y_{, i}-e_{c}+c h Y\right)+e_{c} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(h_{, i}\right)^{2}}{L_{i i}}+c h^{2}+1 \neq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first, second and third fundamental forms of $\Sigma$ in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$, are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I=\left\langle X_{, i}, X_{, j}\right\rangle & =L_{i j}-\frac{2 h}{S}\left(V_{j i} L_{i i}+V_{i j} L_{j j}\right)+\frac{4 h^{2}}{S^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{i k} V_{j k} L_{k k},  \tag{13}\\
I I=-\left\langle N_{, i}, X_{, j}\right\rangle & =\frac{4 h}{S^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{i k} V_{j k} L_{k k}-\frac{2}{S} V_{j i} L_{i i},  \tag{14}\\
I I I=\left\langle N_{, i}, N_{, j}\right\rangle & =\frac{4}{S^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_{i k} V_{j k} L_{k k}, \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i j}=\frac{1}{L_{j j}}\left(h_{, i j}-\sum_{l=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i j}^{l} h_{, l}\right)+c h \delta_{i j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Gamma_{i j}^{l}$ are the Christoffel symbols of the metric $L_{i j}=\left\langle Y_{, i}, Y_{, j}\right\rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The Weingarten matrix $W=\left(W_{i j}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=2\left(S I_{n}-2 h V\right)^{-1} V, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{n}$ is the identity matrix and $V=\left(V_{i j}\right)$.
The condition of regularity of $X$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(S I_{n}-2 h V\right) \neq 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, given a local orthogonal parametrization $Y: U \rightarrow M^{n}(c) \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$, where $U$ is a simply connected domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a differentiable function $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then (10) is a hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}$ (c) with Gauss map given by (11) and (12)-(18) are satisfied.

Proposition 2. Let $X: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \Sigma \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ be a parametrization of a hypersurface $\Sigma$ given by (10). The following statements are equivalent
(1) $X$ is parametrized by lines of curvature.
(2) $V_{i j}=0$, for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.
(3) $N_{, i}=-k_{, i} X_{, i}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}=\frac{2 V_{i i}}{2 h V_{i i}-S}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the principal curvatures of $X$.
Remark 1. From (19), the eigenvalues $\sigma_{i}$ of the matrix $V$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}=\frac{S k_{i}}{2\left(h k_{i}-1\right)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of the Weingarten matrix $W$.
From (20) we have that $\sigma_{i}=\frac{S}{2} \bar{k}_{i}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{i i}=\frac{n S}{2} H_{R} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Y$ be a local orthogonal parametrization of $M^{n}(c) \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ given by

$$
Y=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{-}^{-1}, P_{+}^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n}, \text { if } c=1  \tag{22}\\
I: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \text { if } c=0 \\
P^{-1}: B^{n}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n}, \text { if } c=-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $P_{-}^{-1}, P_{+}^{-1}$ are given by (6), $I$ is the identity function of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $P^{-1}$ is given by (8). The metric $L$ in the parametrization $Y$ is given by $L_{i j}=\left\langle Y_{, i}, Y_{, j}\right\rangle=0$, if $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and $L_{i i}=\left\langle Y_{, i}, Y_{, i}\right\rangle=J_{c}$, where

$$
J_{c}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{4}{(1+\langle u, u\rangle)^{2}}, u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \text { if } c=1  \tag{23}\\
1, u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \text { if } c=0 \\
\frac{4}{(1-\langle u, u\rangle)^{2}}, u \in B^{n}(1), \text { if } c=-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (4), the Christoffel symbols associated to $L_{i j}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i i}^{i}=\frac{J_{c, i}}{2 J_{c}}, \quad \Gamma_{i j}^{i}=\frac{J_{c, j}}{2 J_{c}}=-\Gamma_{i i}^{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result can be found in [10].
Theorem 2. Let $\Sigma$ be an orientable hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ given by Theorem 1 where $Y$ is the local orthogonal parametrization of $M^{n}(c) \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ given by (22). $\Sigma$ is a rotation spherical hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ if and only if $h$ is a radial function.

In [4], is introduced the generalized Helmholtz equation and present explicit solutions to this generalized Helmholtz equation, these solutions depend on three holomorphic functions.
The two-dimensional Helmholtz equation for $h: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta h(u)+k \Omega^{2}(u) h(u)=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega(u)$ indicates the wave number and $k$ is a non-zero real constant.
Definition 7. The two-dimensional generalized Helmholtz equation for $h: U \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left[\frac{1}{\Omega^{2}(u)}\left(\Delta h(u)+k \Omega^{2}(u) h(u)\right)\right]=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega(u)$ is a non-zero $C^{2}$ function and $k$ is a non-zero real constant.
The following Lemma is an equivalent version to Lemma 1 shown in [11].
Lemma 1. If $f_{1}, f_{2}, g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic functions of $u=u_{1}+i u_{2}$, such that $\left\langle 1, f_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle g, f_{2}\right\rangle=0$. Then $f_{1}=-\bar{z}_{1} g+i c_{1}, f_{2}=i c_{2} g+z_{1}$, where $c_{i}$ are real constants and $z_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$.

## 3 Hypersurfaces with radial mean curvature

In this section, we study two classes of hypersurfaces, namely, the DRMC-hypersurfaces and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces.

Definition 8. We say that $M$ is a hypersurface with radial mean curvature which depends on the distance and radius functions (in short DRMC-hypersurface) if the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H_{R}}{1-d}+(a-c) h=0, a \in \mathbb{R} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied.
Also, we say that $M$ is a hypersurface with radial mean curvature of harmonic type (in short HDRMC-hypersurface) if the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\frac{H_{R}}{1-d}+(a-c) h\right)=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied.
We observe that when $H_{R}=0, M$ is a Weingarten hypersurface of the spherical type in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ (see [10] for more details).

Proposition 3. Let $\Sigma$ be an orientable hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ given by Theorem 1, where $Y$ is a local orthogonal parametrization of $M^{n}(c) \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$. Then $\Sigma$ defines a hypersurface in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{L} h+n c h=\frac{n}{1-d} H_{R} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the metric of $M^{n}(c)$ given by $L_{i j}=\left\langle Y_{, i}, Y_{, j}\right\rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $\Delta_{L}$ is the Laplacian operator with respect to the metric $L$.

Proof. Let $\Sigma$ be an orientable hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ given by Theorem 1. From (16) we obtain that the trace of the matrix $V$ in terms of the Laplacian operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{i i}=\Delta_{L} h+n c h . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (11), we get $d=\left\langle N(p), e_{c}\right\rangle=1-\frac{2}{S}$, hence, $S=\frac{2}{1-d}$. Using (30) in (21) we obtain (29). The proof is complete.

Corollary 1. Let $\Sigma$ be an orientable hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ given by Theorem 1 and $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
(1) $\Sigma$ is DRMC-hypersurface if and only if $\triangle_{L} h+n a h=0$.
(2) $\Sigma$ is HDRMC-hypersurface if and only if $\Delta\left(\triangle_{L} h+n a h\right)=0$.
(3) A DRMC-hypersurface $\Sigma$ in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ with $h \neq 0$ is a Weingarten hypersurface of the spherical type if and only if $a=c$.

Proof. By Proposition 3, we get

$$
\triangle_{L} h+n c h=\frac{n}{1-d} H_{R} \Longleftrightarrow \triangle_{L} h+n a h=\frac{n}{1-d} H_{R}+n(a-c) h .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\triangle_{L} h+n a h=0 \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{1-d} H_{R}+(a-c) h=0,
$$

$$
\Delta\left(\triangle_{L} h+n a h\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \Delta\left(\frac{1}{1-d} H_{R}+(a-c) h\right)=0 .
$$

From these expressions we get (1) and (2).
(3) If $\Sigma$ is a Weingarten hypersurface of the spherical type then $H_{R}=0$ and consequently $(a-c) h=0$, therefore $a=c$.
Conversely, if $a=c$ then $\frac{1}{1-d} H_{R}=0$. The proof is complete.
Theorem 3. Let $\Sigma$ be an orientable hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c), n \geq 2$ given by Theorem 1 where $Y$ is the local orthogonal parametrization of $M^{n}(c) \subset \bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ given by (22). Then $\Sigma$ is a DRMC-hypersurface or a HDRMC-hypersurface if and only if $h$ is a solution of the equation given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\Delta h}{J_{c}}+\frac{(n-2)}{2\left(J_{c}\right)^{2}}\left\langle\nabla J_{c}, \nabla h\right\rangle+a n h=0,  \tag{31}\\
\Delta\left[\frac{\Delta h}{J_{c}}+\frac{(n-2)}{2\left(J_{c}\right)^{2}}\left\langle\nabla J_{c}, \nabla h\right\rangle+a n h\right]=0, \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively, where $J_{c}$ is given by (23).
Proof. By Corollary 1, we must calculate $\Delta_{L} h$ (the Laplacian operator of the function $h$ with respect to the metric $L$ ) in the parameterization $Y$ given by (22). From Remark 1 we have that $L_{i j}=0$, if $1 \leq 1 \neq j \leq n$ and $L_{i i}=J_{c}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, from definition of Laplacian operator we obtain that

$$
\Delta_{L} h=\frac{\Delta h}{J_{c}}+\frac{(n-2)}{2\left(J_{c}\right)^{2}}\left\langle\nabla J_{c}, \nabla h\right\rangle .
$$

Hence, it follows (31) and (32).
Remark 2. For $n=2$, from Theorem 3 we obtain that the DRMC-surfaces and the HDRMC-surfaces satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{J_{c}}\left(\Delta h+2 a J_{c} h\right)=0,  \tag{33}\\
\Delta\left[\frac{1}{J_{c}}\left(\Delta h+2 a J_{c} h\right)\right]=0, \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively.

In the following result we present a way to obtain DRMC-surfaces and HDRMCsurfaces in $\bar{M}^{3}(c)$ using two holomorphic functions.

Corollary 2. On the conditions of the Theorem3.
i) For $n=2, a=1, c= \pm 1$,
(1) the solutions of (34) are given by $h=\frac{\langle 1, A\rangle+\langle u, B\rangle}{1+c|u|^{2}}$, where $A, B$ are holomorphic functions,
(2) the solutions of (33) are given by $h=\frac{\langle 1, A\rangle+\langle u, B\rangle}{1+c|u|^{2}}$, where $A$ is a holomorphic function and $B$ is a holomorphic function such that $B=\int\left(c A^{\prime} u-c A+i c_{1}\right) d u$, $c_{1}$ is a real constant.
ii) For $n=2, a \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq 0, c=0$,
(3) some solutions of (33) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(u)=\frac{\Omega C_{1} C_{2}}{a} e^{-\left(\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}}{2\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}\right)\left(b_{1} u_{1}+a_{1} u_{2}\right)} \sin \left(\frac{\Omega}{2\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}\left(a_{1} u_{1}-b_{1} u_{2}\right)\right), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) some solutions of (34) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
h(u)= & -\frac{1}{2 a^{2}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}} e^{-2 \alpha\left(b_{1} u_{1}+a_{1} u_{2}\right)}\left(C _ { 2 } C _ { 3 } K _ { 1 } e ^ { \alpha ( b _ { 1 } u _ { 1 } + a _ { 1 } u _ { 2 } ) } \left(a_{1} \cos \left(\alpha\left(a_{1} u_{1}-b_{1} u_{2}\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+b_{1} \sin \left(\alpha\left(a_{1} u_{1}-b_{1} u_{2}\right)\right)\right)+C_{1} C_{3} K_{2} e^{\left(\alpha+\frac{\Omega}{2\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}\right)}\left(a_{1} \cos \left(\beta\left(a_{1} u_{1}-b_{1} u_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+b_{1} \sin \left(\beta\left(a_{1} u_{1}-b_{1} u_{2}\right)\right)\right)+4\left|z_{1}\right|^{2} C_{1} C_{2} e^{\frac{\Omega}{2\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}\left(b_{1} u_{1}+a_{1} u_{2}\right)} \times  \tag{36}\\
& \left.\sin \left(\frac{\Omega}{2\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}\left(a_{1} u_{1}-b_{1} u_{2}\right)\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}, c_{2}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3} \in \mathbb{R}, z_{1}=a_{1}+i b_{1} \in \mathbb{C}, \Omega=\sqrt{c_{1}^{2}+4 a\left(2\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-c_{1} c_{2}+a c_{2}^{2}\right)}, \\
& \alpha=\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}+\Omega}{4\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}, \beta=\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}-\Omega}{4\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}, K_{1}=a\left(4\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-2 c_{1} c_{2}\right)+c_{1}\left(c_{1}-\Omega\right), \\
& K_{2}=a\left(4\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-2 c_{1} c_{2}\right)+c_{1}\left(c_{1}+\Omega\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. i) We will show that the given a holomorphic function $g$, non-zero real con-
stants $r, s$ with $k=r s$ and $\Omega(u)=\frac{2 \sqrt{2}\left|g^{\prime}\right|}{r+s|g|^{2}}$, the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(u)=\frac{\langle 1, A\rangle+\langle g, B\rangle}{r+s|g|^{2}}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

are solutions of the two-dimensional generalized Helmholtz equation (26), where $A$ and $B$ are holomorphic functions.
Moreover, (37) are solutions of the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation (25) if the holomorphic functions $A$ and $B$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(u)=\frac{1}{r} \int\left(s g A^{\prime}-s g^{\prime} A+i c_{1} g^{\prime}\right) d u \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{f}{T}, \text { where } T=r+s|g|^{2} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calculating the Laplacian of $h$ we have

$$
\Delta h=\frac{\Delta f}{T}+2\left\langle\nabla f, \nabla\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right\rangle+f \Delta\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) .
$$

Using the expression of $T$ given in (39), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta h & =\frac{\Delta f}{T}-4 s\left\langle\nabla f, \frac{g g^{\prime}}{T^{2}}\right\rangle+f\left(-\frac{4 s\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{T^{2}}+\frac{8 s^{2}\left|g g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{T^{3}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\Delta f}{T}-4\left\langle\nabla f, \frac{g \overline{g^{\prime}}}{T^{2}}\right\rangle+4 f s\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{T^{2}}-\frac{2 r}{T^{3}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T^{2}}{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(\Delta h+\frac{8 r s\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{T^{2}} h\right)=T \frac{\Delta f}{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}-4 s\left\langle\nabla f, \frac{g}{g^{\prime}}\right\rangle+4 s f . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for $\Omega=\frac{2 \sqrt{2}\left|g^{\prime}\right|}{r+s|g|^{2}}$, the function $h=\frac{f}{T}$ is a solution of the generalized Helmholtz equation (26), if and only if

$$
\Delta\left\{T \frac{\Delta f}{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}-4 s\left\langle\nabla f, \frac{g}{g^{\prime}}\right\rangle+4 s f\right\}=T \Delta\left(\frac{\Delta f}{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right)=0
$$

On the other hand, the solutions of the equation $\Delta\left(\frac{\Delta f}{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right)=0$ are given by $f=$ $\langle 1, A\rangle+\langle g, B\rangle$, where $A, B$ are holomorphic functions. Thus, we get (37).
Also, it is easy to show that (40) is equivalent to

$$
\left\langle 1, r \frac{B^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}-s \frac{g A^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}+s A\right\rangle=0 .
$$

From this expression we obtain

$$
r \frac{B^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}-s \frac{g A^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}+s A=i c_{1}
$$

Hence, we get (38). Therefore, (1) and (2) follows from (33)-(38), for $r=a=1$, $s=c= \pm 1, g(u)=u$ and $\Omega(u)=\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{1+c|u|^{2}}$.
ii) We observe that for $a=0$, the harmonic and biharmonic functions are solutions of (33) and (34), respectively.
For $a \neq 0$, we will find solutions of (33) and (34) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\langle A, B\rangle, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ are holomorphic functions.
Calculating the Laplacian of (41), we get $\Delta h=4\left\langle A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right\rangle$, using this expression in (33) it follows that

$$
\left\langle 1, \frac{a B}{A}\right\rangle+\left\langle\frac{2 A^{\prime}}{A}, \frac{B^{\prime}}{A}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

By Lemma 1 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B & =-\frac{2 \bar{z}_{1}}{a} A^{\prime}+\frac{i c_{1}}{a} A  \tag{42}\\
B^{\prime} & =2 i c_{2} A^{\prime}+z_{1} A \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

From (42)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}=-\frac{2 \bar{z}_{1}}{a} A^{\prime \prime}+\frac{i c_{1}}{a} A^{\prime} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (43) and (44) we obtain

$$
2 \overline{z_{1}} A^{\prime \prime}+i\left(2 a c_{2}-c_{1}\right) A^{\prime}+a z_{1} A=0,
$$

whose solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u)=C_{1} e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}-\Omega}{4 \bar{z}_{1}}\right) u}+C_{2} e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}+\Omega}{4 \bar{z}_{1}}\right) u} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (45) in (42) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(u)=\frac{i}{2 a}\left(C_{1}\left(c_{1}+2 a c_{2}+\Omega\right) e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}-\Omega}{4 \bar{z}_{1}}\right) u}+C_{2}\left(c_{1}+2 a c_{2}-\Omega\right) e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}+\Omega}{4 \bar{z}_{1}}\right) u}\right) . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (35) follows from (41), (45) and (46).
Similarly, calculating the Laplacian of (41) and using (34) we obtain

$$
\left\langle 1, \frac{a B^{\prime}}{A^{\prime}}\right\rangle+\left\langle\frac{2 A^{\prime \prime}}{A}, \frac{B^{\prime \prime}}{A^{\prime}}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

By Lemma 1 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B^{\prime} & =-\frac{2 \bar{z}_{1}}{a} A^{\prime \prime}+\frac{i c_{1}}{a} A^{\prime},  \tag{47}\\
B^{\prime \prime} & =2 i c_{2} A^{\prime \prime}+z_{1} A^{\prime} . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

From (47)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{2 \bar{z}_{1}}{a} A^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{i c_{1}}{a} A^{\prime \prime} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (48) and (49) we obtain

$$
2 \overline{z_{1}} A^{\prime \prime \prime}+i\left(2 a c_{2}-c_{1}\right) A^{\prime \prime}+a z_{1} A^{\prime}=0,
$$

whose solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u)=-4 i \overline{z_{1}}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}-\Omega} e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}-\Omega}{4 \bar{z}_{2}}\right) u}+\frac{C_{2}}{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}+\Omega} e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}+\Omega}{4 \overline{z_{1}}}\right) u}\right)+C_{3} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (50) in (47) and integrating, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B(u)= & -\frac{i}{2 a^{2} z_{1}}\left(C_{1}\left(4 a\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-2 a c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}\left(c_{1}+\Omega\right)\right) e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}-\Omega}{4 \bar{z}_{1}}\right) u}\right.  \tag{51}\\
& \left.+C_{2}\left(4 a\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-2 a c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}\left(c_{1}-\Omega\right)\right) e^{i\left(\frac{c_{1}-2 a c_{2}+\Omega}{4 \bar{z}_{1}}\right) u}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, (36) follows from (41), (50) and (51). Therefore, (3) and (4) are proven. The proof is complete.

The following result classifies the DRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation.

Corollary 3. Let $\Sigma$ be a rotation spherical hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ given by Theorem 3. $\Sigma$ is a DRMC-hypersurface if and only if $h$ is given by
(1) for $a=0, c=0$,

$$
h(u)= \begin{cases}C_{1}+2 C_{2} \ln |u|, & \text { if } n=2, \\ \frac{2 C_{1}|u|^{2-n}}{2-n}+c_{2}, & \text { if } n \neq 2,\end{cases}
$$

(2) for $a=0, c= \pm 1$,

$$
h(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}+2 C_{2} \ln |u|, \text { if } n=2, \\
C_{1}\left(|u|^{2}-\frac{1}{|u|^{2}}\right)+4 c C_{1} \ln |u|+C_{2}, \text { if } n=4, \\
C_{1}(-c)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \operatorname{Beta}\left(-c|u|^{2}, \frac{2-n}{2}, n-1\right)+C_{2}, \text { if } n \neq 2, n \neq 4
\end{array}\right.
$$

(3) for $a \neq 0, c=0$,

$$
h(u)=|u|^{1-\frac{n}{2}}\left(C_{1} \operatorname{Bessel} J\left(\frac{n}{2}-1, \sqrt{a n}|u|\right)+C_{2} \operatorname{Bessel} Y\left(\frac{n}{2}-1, \sqrt{a n}|u|\right)\right),
$$

(4) for $a \neq 0, c= \pm 1, n=2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(u)= & C_{1}\left(1+c|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\sqrt{8 a c+1}}{2}} H g F_{1}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{8 a c+1)}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8 a c+1)}}{2}\right. \\
& \left.1-\sqrt{8 a c+1}, 1+c|u|^{2}\right)+C_{2}\left(1+c|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1+\sqrt{8 a c+1}}{2}} H g F_{1}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{8 a c+1}}{2},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1+\sqrt{8 a c+1}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{8 a c+1}, 1+c|u|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(5) for $a \neq 0, c=1, n=4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(u)= & C_{1}\left(1+|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2}} H g F_{1}\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2},\right. \\
& \left.1-\sqrt{9+16 a}, 1+|u|^{2}\right)+C_{2}\left(1+|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{3+\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2}} H g F_{1}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{3+\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{9+16 a}, 1+|u|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(6) for $a \neq 0, c=-1, n=4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(u)= & C_{1}\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2}} H g F_{1}\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2},\right. \\
& \left.1-\sqrt{9-16 a}, 1-|u|^{2}\right)+C_{2}\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{3+\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2}} \operatorname{HgF}_{1}\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2},\right. \\
& \left.\frac{1+\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{9-16 a}, 1-|u|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

(7) for $a \neq 0, c= \pm 1, n \neq 2, n \neq 4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(u)= & \left(1+c|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-1-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2}}\left(C _ { 1 } H g F _ { 1 } \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2},\right.\right. \\
& \left.\frac{n-1-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2}, \frac{n}{2},-c|u|^{2}\right)+C_{2}|u|^{2-n} \times \\
& H g F_{1}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2}, \frac{3-n-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\frac{4-n}{2},-c|u|^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H g F_{1}=$ Hypergeometric $2 F_{1}$.

Proof. From Theorem 4.17 in [10], we get that for $n \geq 2, h$ is a radial function i.e. $h(u)=f(t), t=|u|^{2}$.

Differentiating the functions $h$ and $J_{c}$, we obtain

$$
\Delta h=4 t f^{\prime \prime}(t)+2 n f^{\prime}(t), \nabla h=2 u f^{\prime}(t), \nabla J_{c}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \text { if } c=0, \\
-\frac{16 c u}{(1+c t)^{3}}, \text { if } c= \pm 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using these expressions in (31) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 t f^{\prime \prime}(t)+2 n f^{\prime}(t)+\operatorname{anf}(t)=0, \quad \text { for } c=0,  \tag{52}\\
& 2 t f^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{(n+c t(4-n)) f^{\prime}(t)}{1+c t}+\frac{2 a n f(t)}{(1+c t)^{2}}=0, \quad \text { for } c= \pm 1 . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we will find the solutions of equations (52) and (53).
Case: $a=0$.
The solutions of (52) are given by
for $n=2$

$$
f(t)=C_{1}+C_{2} \ln t
$$

for $n \neq 2$

$$
f(t)=\frac{2 C_{1} t^{\frac{2-n}{2}}}{2-n}+C_{2} .
$$

The solutions of (53) are given by
for $n=2$

$$
f(t)=C_{1}+C_{2} \ln t
$$

for $n=4$

$$
f(t)=C_{1}\left(t-\frac{1}{t}\right)+2 c C_{1} \ln t+C_{2}
$$

for $n \neq 2, n \neq 4$

$$
f(t)=C_{1}(-c)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \operatorname{Beta}\left(-c t, \frac{2-n}{2}, n-1\right)+C_{2} .
$$

Case: $a \neq 0$.
The solutions of (52) are given by

$$
f(t)=t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n}{4}}\left(C_{1} \operatorname{Bessel} J\left(\frac{n}{2}-1, \sqrt{a n t}\right)+C_{2} \operatorname{BesselY}\left(\frac{n}{2}-1, \sqrt{a n t}\right)\right) .
$$

The solutions of (53) are given by
for $n=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t)= & C_{1}(1+c t)^{\frac{1-\sqrt{8 a c+1}}{2}} H g F_{1}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{8 a c+1)}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{8 a c+1)}}{2}\right. \\
& 1-\sqrt{8 a c+1}, 1+c t)+C_{2}(1+c t)^{\frac{1+\sqrt{8 a c+1}}{2}} \times \\
& H g F_{1}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{8 a c+1}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{8 a c+1}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{8 a c+1}, 1+c t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=4, c=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t)= & C_{1}(1+t)^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2}} H g F_{1}\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2},\right. \\
& 1-\sqrt{9+16 a}, 1+t)+C_{2}(1+t)^{\frac{3+\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2}} \times \\
& H g F_{1}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2}, \frac{3+\sqrt{9+16 a}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{9+16 a}, 1+t\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=4, c=-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t)= & C_{1}(1-t)^{\frac{3-\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2}} H g F_{1}\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2},\right. \\
& 1-\sqrt{9-16 a}, 1-t)+C_{2}(1-t)^{\frac{3+\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2}} \times \\
& H g F_{1}\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{9-16 a}}{2}, 1+\sqrt{9-16 a}, 1-t\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \neq 2, n \neq 4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t)= & (1+c t)^{\frac{n-1-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2}}\left(C _ { 1 } H g F _ { 1 } \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2},\right.\right. \\
& \left.\frac{n-1-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2}, \frac{n}{2},-c t\right)+C_{2} t^{\frac{2-n}{2} \times} \\
& H g F_{1}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2}, \frac{3-n-\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+4 a c n}}{2},\right. \\
& \left.\left.\frac{4-n}{2},-c t\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 3. From Theorem 3, in the case of HDRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation, the equation (32) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 t^{2} f^{(4)}(t)+8(n+2) t f^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(t)+2 n(n+2+a t) f^{\prime \prime}(t)+a n^{2} f(t)=0, \text { if } c=0,  \tag{54}\\
& 4 t^{2}(1+c t)^{2} f^{(4)}(t)+\left(32 t^{3}+4 c t^{2}(n+10)+4 t(n+2)\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)  \tag{55}\\
& +\left(\left(56+2 n-n^{2}\right) t^{2}+4(8 c+a n+3 c n) t+n^{2}+2 n\right) f^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& +\left(2(4-n)(n+2) t+2 a n^{2}+4 c n\right) f^{\prime}(t)+\frac{8 a(1-n) t f(t)}{(1+c t)^{2}}=0, \text { if } c= \pm 1
\end{align*}
$$

The following result classifies the HDRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation for $c=0$ i.e. when $\bar{M}^{n+1}(0)=\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Corollary 4. Let $\Sigma$ be a rotation spherical hypersurface of $\bar{M}^{n+1}(0)$ given by Theorem 3. $\Sigma$ is a HDRMC-hypersurface if and only if $h$ is given by
(1) for $a=0$,

$$
h(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(C_{4}-C_{2}\right)|u|^{2}+2\left(|u|^{2} C_{2}-C_{1}\right) \ln |u|+C_{3}, \text { if } n=2, \\
C_{4}|u|^{2}-\frac{\left(3 C_{2}-\sqrt{15} C_{1}\right) \cos (\sqrt{15} \ln |u|)+\left(\sqrt{15} C_{2}+3 C_{1}\right) \sin (\sqrt{15} \ln |u|)}{24|u|} \\
+C_{3}, \text { if } n=4, \\
\frac{4|u|^{2-n}\left((n-4) C_{1}+n C_{2}|u|^{2}\right)}{n(n-2)(n-4)}+C_{4}|u|^{2}+C_{3}, \text { if } n \neq 2, n \neq 4,
\end{array}\right.
$$

(2) for $a \neq 0$,

$$
h(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2 a C_{1} \ln |u|-2 C_{2} \operatorname{Bessel} J(0, \sqrt{2 a}|u|)-4 C_{3} \operatorname{Bessel} Y(0, \sqrt{2 a}|u|)+2 C_{2}}{a} \\
+C_{4}, \text { if } n=2, \\
-2 C_{3} \text { Meijer }\left(\left\{\{0\},\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right\},\left\{\{0,0\},\left\{-1,-\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right\}, \sqrt{a}|u|, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
-\frac{C_{2} \operatorname{BesselI}(1,2 \sqrt{-a}|u|)}{\sqrt{-a}|u|}-\frac{C_{1}}{|u|^{2}}+C_{2}, \text { if } n=4, \\
\frac{|u|^{-n}}{a^{2} n}\left(\frac { 2 ^ { 2 - n } ( a n | u | ^ { 2 } ) ^ { \frac { n } { 4 } } C _ { 3 } \operatorname { G a m m a } ( \frac { n } { 2 } ) } { \operatorname { G a m m a } ( \frac { n + 2 } { 2 } ) } \left(2\left(a n|u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{4}}-2^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{a n}|u| \times\right.\right. \\
\left.\operatorname{BesselJ}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}, \sqrt{a n}|u|\right) \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right)-\frac{a|u|^{2}}{n-2}\left(2 a n C_{1}-4 C_{2}(n-2)\right. \\
\left.\left.+C_{2} n(n-2)^{2} G a m m a\left(-\frac{n}{2}\right) H g F_{1} R\left(\frac{4-n}{2},-\frac{a n|u|^{2}}{4}\right)\right)\right)+C_{4}, \\
\text { if } n \neq 2, n \neq 4,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $H g F_{1} R=$ Hypergeometric $0 F 1$ Regularized.
Proof. Similarly to the proof of Corollary 3, from Theorem 4.17 in [10], we get that for $n \geq 2, h$ is a radial function i.e. $h(u)=f(t), t=|u|^{2}$.
On the other hand, from Remark 3 the expression (32) is equivalent to (54), thus, we will find the solutions of this equation.
The solutions of equation (54) are given by for $a=0$

$$
f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(C_{4}-C_{2}\right) t+\left(t C_{2}-C_{1}\right) \ln t+C_{3}, \text { if } n=2, \\
C_{4} t-\frac{\left(3 C_{2}-\sqrt{15} C_{1}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\sqrt{15} \ln t}{2}\right)+\left(\sqrt{15} C_{2}+3 C_{1}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\sqrt{15} \ln t}{2}\right)}{24 \sqrt{t}} \\
+C_{3}, \text { if } n=4, \\
\frac{4 t^{\frac{2-n}{2}}\left((n-4) C_{1}+n C_{2} t\right)}{n(n-2)(n-4)}+C_{4} t+C_{3}, \text { if } n \neq 2, n \neq 4,
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $a \neq 0$

$$
f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{a C_{1} \ln t-2 C_{2} \operatorname{Bessel} J(0, \sqrt{2 a t})-4 C_{3} \operatorname{BesselY}(0, \sqrt{2 a t})+2 C_{2}}{a} \\
+C_{4}, \text { if } n=2, \\
-2 C_{3} M e i j e r G\left(\left\{\{0\},\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right\},\left\{\{0,0\},\left\{-1,-\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right\}, \sqrt{a t}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
-\frac{C_{2} \operatorname{BesselI}(1,2 \sqrt{-a t})}{\sqrt{-a t}}-\frac{C_{1}}{t}+C_{2}, \text { if } n=4, \\
\frac{t^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac { 2 ^ { 2 - n } ( a n t ) ^ { \frac { n } { 4 } } C _ { 3 } \operatorname { G a m m a } ( \frac { n } { 2 } ) } { a ^ { 2 } n } \left(2(a n t)^{\frac{n}{4}}-2^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{a n t} \times\right.\right.}{\text { Gamma }\left(\frac{n+2}{2}\right)} \\
\text { BesselJ } \left.\left(\frac{n-2}{2}, \sqrt{a n t}\right) \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right)-\frac{a t}{n-2}\left(2 a n C_{1}-4 C_{2}(n-2)\right. \\
\left.\left.+C_{2} n(n-2)^{2} \operatorname{Gamma}\left(-\frac{n}{2}\right) H g F_{1} R\left(\frac{4-n}{2},-\frac{a n t}{4}\right)\right)\right)+C_{4}, \\
\text { if } n \neq 2, n \neq 4 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The proof is complete.

## 4 Conclusions

The DRMC-hypersurfaces and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces in space forms $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$, $c=-1,0,1$ generalize the Weingarten hypersurfaces of the spherical type studied by [10]. In the case $n=2$, using two holomorphic functions a way to construct DRMCsurfaces and HDRMC-surfaces in $\bar{M}^{3}(c)$ is obtained. Finally, as a first step, we classify the DRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in $\bar{M}^{n+1}(c)$ and the HDRMC-hypersurfaces of rotation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. It would be interesting to study DRMC-hypersurfaces and HDRMC-hypersurfaces with some geometric properties such as embeddededness, completeness. In this sense, future research is being carried out.
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